WASHINGTON, D.C. 20530 UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

AMENDMENT TO REGISTRATION STATEMENT

E Amily

Pursuant to the Foreign Agents
Registration Act of 1938, as amended. RECISTRATION UNIT

	Registration Act of	INTERNAL SECTION SECTION CRIMINAL DIVISION
	1. Name of Registrant rk Committee of INTERNATIONAL CO	2. Registration No.
OF PASS	SENGER LINES, Vincent A. Demo,	2036
CHAIL	3. This amendment is filed to accomplish the follow	ving indicated purpose or purposes:
	To correct a deficiency in	To give a 10-day notice of a change in information as required by Section 2(b) of the Act.
	☐ Initial Statement	
	Supplemental Statement for July 25, 1973	Other purpose (specify)
	To give notice of change in an exhibit previously filed.	
	4. If this amendment requires the filing of a document	nt or documents, please list-
	5. Each item checked above must be explained belo specific reference to and identity of the item in more space is needed, full size insert sheets may	the registration statement to which it pertains. If
Sectio		
	.6 - Yes .7 - See list attached to stateme	nt in answer to Section II - Item 10
Item 18 - Yes - answered Section IV - Item 14(a)		
	9 - "Letters or telegrams" 0 - Government agencies	
Item 2	l - English	
	22 - Yes 23 - Yes	
	4 - Yes	
The undersigned swear(s) or affirm(s) that he has (they have) read the information set forth in this amendment and that he is (they are) familiar with the contents thereof and that such contents are in their entirety true and accurate to the best of his (their) knowledge and belief.		
	(Both copies of this amendment shall be signed and sw to before a notary public or other person authorized to ad	•
	minister oaths by the agent, if the registrant is an indivi- or by a majority of those partners, officers, directors or	
	persons performing similar functions who are in the Unite States, if the registrant is an organization.)	
	Subscribed and sworn to before me at how	E, N. Y.
	this 14th day of January, 197	H Mancy loss
	My commission expires 3-30-75	NANCY EXOSS Notary Public, State of New To k No. 4510452 Qualified in New York Copy
		Commission Expires March 19 1 76

INTERNATIONAL COMMITTEE OF PASSENGER LINES

30-32 St. Mary Axe, London, E. C. 3, England

JAN 10 1 44 M. 74

NEW YORK COMMITTEE

25 BROADWAY
NEW YORK, N.Y. 10004
TELEPHONE: 212 269-2822
CHAIRMAN: VINCENT A. DEMO

REGISTRATION UNIT INTERNAL SECURITY SECTION CRIMINAL DIVISION

March 30, 1973

Commissioner of Customs Attention: Regulations Division Washington, D. C. 20226

Dear Sir:

On February 13, 1973, I submitted comments on the proposed amendment to 19 C.F.R. 4.80a as promulgated in the Federal Register of December 20, 1972, in my capacity as agent, registered with the Department of Justice under the Foreign Agents Registration Act, for the New York Committee, International Committee of Passenger Lines, which comprises 19 foreign passenger Lines calling at United States ports.

In the interim, we have had an opportunity to review most of the comments submitted to you by the many interested parties in the United States. These are most interesting and emphasize the many practical reasons for interpreting the coastwise statute so as not to impose unrealistic restrictions upon cruise operations from United States ports.

The comments submitted by the various State authorities establish beyond doubt the important contribution made by these cruises to the economies of the American ports where the voyages originate and terminate, as well as to those of the intermediate American ports of call. The Alaskan interests have emphasized that the number of passengers on cruises to Alaskan ports had doubled between 1968 and 1972 and that even further increases are projected for the future. Tourism during the short cruising season to Alaskan ports represents millions of dollars to the economy of Alaska and, as indicated by the Department of Economic Development for the State of Alaska, "the cruise ship tourism to Alaska represents a major portion of the total industry."

The comments submitted by The American Society of Travel Agents, as well as by individual agents, highlight the increasing demand for ocean pleasure cruises and the lack of existing American-flag passenger service to meet that demand. ASTA has estimated that the cancellation of only one foreign operator's projected 1973 cruises from the West Coast to Hawaii resulted in a loss of some \$2.5 million in commissions which would otherwise have been payable to American travel agents.

The comments submitted by individuals bear witness to the American passengers' desire to visit places of touristic interest within their own country when these can be combined with ocean cruises to foreign ports. Yet, at the present time, no American-flag passenger vessel operates cruises out of United States East Coast ports, and the proposed reactivation of the S.S. Independence for that purpose has recently been abandoned. On the Pacific coast, the only American-flag cruise service is that provided by two vessels of the Pacific Far East Line, each of which has a limited capacity of 350 and, between them, employ approximately 540 crew members. They do not even begin to meet the demand for regular cruises to Hawaii, much less to Alaska since, for a part of the year, they cruise to South Pacific and other non-domestic areas. As pointed out in our February 13, 1973 comments, the single United States-flag vessel scheduled to provide five cruises to Alaska from California ports in 1973 offers only 1,750 berths as opposed to over 40,000 berths on nine foreign-flag vessels. In spite of this difference in availabilities, the PFEL vessels, we gather, are regularly sold out to capacity which only further emphasizes the extent of this tourist interest by the American public.

We submit that, at this period when the United States public must depend upon foreign-flag vessels to meet the demand for cruising, a minimum of restrictions should be imposed so that the public may have the maximum opportunity, while on foreign voyages, to visit and become acquainted with tourist facilities in the United States as well. This would implement the "Visit America" program under which heavy expenditures are being made abroad by the United States Government for the purpose of encouraging tourism to the United States.

The "primary purpose" of the voyage should, therefore, reflect the basic distinction between transportation and cruising. This fundamental distinction highlights the inherent unreasonableness of characterizing the primary object of the voyage on the basis of a purely arithmetic ratio of domestic versus foreign ports - or of the time spent in such ports. Mechanical application of this criterion would, in many instances, mean the substitution of a foreign port of call for an American This is because the scheduling of short pleasure cruises is necessarily related to the length of the passengers' vacation periods - a timing which does not readily permit ports to be added or eliminated in accordance with the proposed foreign versus domestic port formula. Even more importantly, this formula could force foreign cruise lines to transfer their bases of operations entirely from domestic to foreign ports; this is feasible in view of present developments in group transportation by air on the fly/cruise concept.

March 30, 1973 Commissioner of Customs -3-In both instances, the ultimate result would be to deprive the affected United States ports of the various and important contributions which cruise operations now make to their respective economies - not overlooking the benefits to longshore labor. As previously pointed out, if the full benefits of cruise voyages are to be enjoyed by all United States interests, the time, distance and relative cost of a pleasure cruise - as compared to the cost of "transportation" from the same port of embarkation to any coastwise port of call - are far more significant factors than the criteria set forth in subparagraph d(1) of the proposed amendment. Respectfully submitted, NEW YORK COMMITTEE, INTERNATIONAL COMMITTEE OF PASSENGER LINES Vincent A. Demo Chairman VAD:d